Effects of naltrexone on cortisol levels in heavy drinkers
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A B S T R A C T

The primary objectives of this study were to: (a) examine the neuroendocrine effects of naltrexone vs. placebo by comparing serum cortisol levels; and (b) test the biobehavioral correlates of naltrexone-induced changes in cortisol. Non-treatment seeking heavy drinkers (n=37) completed two intravenous alcohol administrations, one after naltrexone (50 mg) and one after placebo. Cortisol levels were measured at baseline and after alcohol intake (BrAC=0.06 g/dl) on both sessions, as were subjective responses to alcohol. Analyses revealed that naltrexone significantly raised overall cortisol levels compared to placebo. Cortisol levels decreased during alcohol administration and a stronger decrease was observed in the naltrexone condition. Cortisol levels were, in turn, inversely related to some of alcohol’s the reinforcing effects (i.e., alcohol ‘high,’ vigor) and positively associated with some of its unpleasant effects (i.e., sedation and subjective intoxication). These results suggest that naltrexone alters cortisol levels in heavy drinkers and that its effects on subjective responses to alcohol may be related, in part, to naltrexone’s ability to activate the HPA-axis.

© 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Naltrexone (NTX) is an opioid receptor antagonist with empirically supported efficacy, albeit moderate, for the treatment of alcoholism, when used in combination with behavioral treatments (Anton et al., 1999, 2006; Monti et al., 2001; O’Malley et al., 1992; Volpicelli et al., 1992). A few studies, however, have not supported the efficacy of naltrexone (Kranzler et al., 2000; Krystal et al., 2001), and even in studies that favored naltrexone over placebo, not all individuals were found to benefit from this pharmacotherapy (Rohsenow, 2004). Therefore, recent research has focused on understanding mechanisms and moderators of response to naltrexone. To that end, laboratory studies of naltrexone’s biobehavioral mechanisms revealed that this pharmacotherapy dampens alcohol’s positively reinforcing effects (Dobres et al., 2004; McCaul et al., 2001; Ray and Hutchison, 2007; Swift et al., 1994), exacerbates alcohol’s aversive effects (King et al., 1997), and attenuates alcohol craving and self-administration (Anton et al., 2004; Tidey et al., 2008).

The neurobiological mechanisms of action of naltrexone are less well characterized. It is generally posited that naltrexone works by occupying opioid receptors preventing the binding of such receptors by endogenous opioid peptides released upon alcohol intake, which in turn prevents the γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA)-mediated release of dopamine in the ventral tegmental area thereby putatively blocking alcohol’s reinforcing effects (Koob and Le Moal, 2008; Kreek, 1996). Opioid peptides may also play a role in alcohol and drug reward through dopamine independent pathways (Koob, 1992). Opioid blockade, mostly tested using naloxone, has been found to increase blood levels of adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH), beta-endorphin, and cortisol in humans (Naber et al., 1981; Schluger et al., 1998), although null findings have also been reported (Kemper et al., 1990). These findings suggest a potential role of the hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenocortical (HPA) axis activity in mediating the neurobiological effects of naltrexone. This notion is also consistent with preclinical and clinical data suggesting that HPA-axis stimulation plays an important role in the neurobiology of alcoholism itself (Adinoff et al., 1998, 2005) and findings suggesting that blunted HPA-axis response predicts alcohol preference in rodents (Olive et al., 2003) and an increased risk of early relapse in humans (Junghans et al., 2003, 2005). Acute neuroendocrine responses to naltrexone have been examined in a few studies. Naltrexone was found to increase cortisol levels after administration in healthy male volunteers (Volavka et al., 1979) and to raise cortisol and ACTH levels among abstinent alcohol dependent patients (Farren et al., 1999). Both studies, however, had small sample sizes of 10 and 6 participants, respectively. In a recent study, King et al. (2002) found that naltrexone increased both ACTH and cortisol levels in healthy participants compared to placebo, and that individuals with a family history positive of alcoholism displayed a heightened ACTH and cortisol response to naltrexone (King et al., 2002).
by cortisol’s modulation of mesolimbic dopaminergic transmission and its biobehavioral effects by examining the effects naltrexone, as well as their interplay. The primary aim is to extend the literature on the neuroendocrine response to naltrexone and its biobehavioral effects by examining the effects naltrexone, as compared to placebo, on serum cortisol levels and their relation to alterations in the subjective responses to alcohol and craving among hazardous drinkers. The focus on responses to alcohol is particularly relevant as subjective responses to alcohol reward may be important biobehavioral mechanism of naltrexone’s effects (King et al., 1997; Swift et al., 1994) and was not tested in any of the preceding investigations. The overarching objective of this study is to further characterize the biobehavioral and neurobiological mechanisms of action of naltrexone as well as their interplay. The primary aim is to extend the literature on the neuroendocrine response to naltrexone and its biobehavioral effects by examining the effects naltrexone, as compared to placebo, on serum cortisol levels and their relation to alterations in the subjective responses to alcohol and craving among hazardous drinkers. The focus on responses to alcohol is particularly relevant as subjective responses to alcohol reward may be influenced by cortisol’s modulation of mesolimbic dopaminergic transmission (Barrot et al., 2000). A secondary aim of this study is to examine the moderating role of family history of alcoholism, which has been associated with neuroendocrine response to opioid blockade (Adinoff et al., 2005; King et al., 2002; Rohsenow et al., 2007; Wand et al., 1998).

2. Method

2.1. Participants

Participants were 37 (11 females) non-treatment-seeking hazardous drinkers, all of whom met the following inclusion criteria: (1) age between 21 and 35; (2) a score of 8 or higher on the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT), indicating a hazardous drinking pattern (Allen et al., 1997); (3) self-reported drinking frequency of 3 or more drinks (2 for women) at least twice per week; (4) no history of adverse reactions to needle puncture; (5) no history of prior treatment for alcohol use disorder (AUD) and no current interest in treatment for an AUD; (6) no history of medical conditions that would contraindicate study participation; and (7) successfully completing a physical exam to ensure medical eligibility for the trial. Female participants completed a pregnancy test before each alcohol administration session; however, the menstrual cycle in female participants was not recorded. All participants were required to have a breath alcohol concentration (BrAC) of zero before each session. The average age of the sample was 22.2 (SD = 2.3; Range = 21 to 32) and 31 (84%) of the participants were Caucasian, 4 (11%) were Asian, and 2 (5%) were Latino. In this sample, the average number of drinks per drinking episode was 4.9 (SD = 2.3; Range = 2 – 12), the average drinking frequency was twice per week, and the average AUDIT score was 12.5 (SD = 4.3; Range = 8 – 21), indicating a hazardous drinking pattern. A total of 13 (35%) participants reported a family history positive for alcoholism. The study was approved by the appropriate human subjects committee and all participants provided written informed consent.

A total of 124 participants (39 women) were screened in the laboratory, 53 completed the physical exam, 7 of whom were ineligible for the study due to a medical reason and 6 of whom decided not to participate in the trial, leaving 40 participants (12 women) who completed the trial. Three participants did not provide a complete set of blood samples, leaving a sample of 37 participants (11 women) who provided complete data for the cortisol analyses reported in this study. This study oversampled for the Asp40 allele of the OPRM1 gene, as described elsewhere (Ray and Hutchison, 2007).

2.2. Procedures

Upon arrival at the lab eligible participants read and signed an informed consent form, and completed a series of individual difference measures. Next, participants completed a physical exam at the General Clinical Research Center (GCRC). Each participant completed two alcohol infusion sessions, one after taking naltrexone (50 mg) for 3 days and one after taking a matched placebo for 3 days. Experimental sessions

Table 1
Summary of studies of the effects of naltrexone (NTX) on HPA-axis hormones

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Naltrexone dosing</th>
<th>Biological sample</th>
<th>HPA-axis hormones</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farren et al.</td>
<td>Alcohol dependent</td>
<td>Single dose; 0, 25, 50, and 100 mg</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>Cortisol ACTH</td>
<td>↑ cortisol and ACTH levels on NTX compared to placebo; no NTX dose effects on HPA-axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiefer et al.</td>
<td>Alcohol dependent</td>
<td>50 mg/day, for 12 weeks</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>Cortisol ACTH</td>
<td>↑ cortisol and ACTH levels on NTX and acamprosate groups versus placebo; ↑ cortisol and ACTH during treatment was associated with ↑ risk of relapse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King et al.</td>
<td>Healthy subjects</td>
<td>Single dose; 50 mg</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>Cortisol ACTH</td>
<td>↑ cortisol and ACTH response to NTX among FH+ individuals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O’Malley et al.</td>
<td>Alcohol dependent (abstinent)</td>
<td>50 mg/day, for 3 weeks</td>
<td>Saliva</td>
<td>Cortisol</td>
<td>Negative correlation between cortisol level and alcohol craving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ooteman et al.</td>
<td>Healthy men</td>
<td>Single dose; 50 mg</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>Cortisol ACTH</td>
<td>↑ cortisol levels on NTX compared to placebo No significant differences in ACTH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volavka et al.</td>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>50 mg/day, for 3 days</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>Cortisol</td>
<td>↑ cortisol levels on NTX compared to placebo; Negative correlation between cortisol and alcohol’s reinforcing effects ↓ cortisol following alcohol infusion compared to sober baseline</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: ↑ indicates significant increase; ↓ indicates significant decrease; ACTH = Adrenocorticotropic hormone; FH = Family history; NTX = Naltrexone; AUDIT = Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test; AUD = Alcohol Use Disorder; FH+ = Family history positive; HPA = Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal; SD = Standard Deviation; Range = Range of values.
started between 11:00 am and 1:00 pm and each participant’s start time was identical between the two sessions. Medication was delivered in a counterbalanced and double-blind fashion. The wash-out period between infusions was at least 7 days.

Given the importance of effectively controlling blood alcohol levels in alcohol administration studies (Li et al., 2001; O’Connor et al., 1998; Ramchandani et al., 1999), this study consisted of administering alcohol intravenously, rather than orally. Consistent with the procedures developed in our laboratory (Ray and Hutchison, 2004) the alcohol infusion was performed using a 5% ethanol IV solution and a nomogram was developed taking into account participant’s gender and weight. Participants received IV alcohol at their target infusion rate and BrAC was monitored every 3 to 5 min.

During the experimental sessions, participants were seated in a recliner chair and the IV was placed in their non-dominant arm. Last use of alcohol and alcohol withdrawal symptoms were not recorded in this study, although all participants were required to have a BrAC of zero at the beginning of each alcohol administration session. Moreover, withdrawal symptoms were unlikely given the self-reported drinking quantity and frequency in this sample. Participants were told that they would receive IV doses of alcohol but remained blind to their BrAC throughout the trial. Participants completed a baseline assessment packet before receiving the intravenous (IV) doses of alcohol (i.e., when BrAC = 0.00 g/dl) and provided identical assessment measures at three points in the ascending arm of the blood alcohol curve (BrAC: 0.02, 0.04, and 0.06 g/dl). After the third assessment point at the ascending limb, the infusion procedure was stopped and participants were given a meal. All participants stayed in the lab until their BrAC was below 0.02 g/dl. Blood samples for cortisol analyses were collected on both medication conditions (i.e., naltrexone and placebo) at baseline (BrAC = 0.00 g/dl) and at the final target BrAC level (i.e., 0.06 g/dl). Therefore, the only behavioral assessment points of interest to this study are those that match the assessment of cortisol (i.e., at baseline and at BrAC = 0.06 g/dl).

### 2.3. Medication Procedures

Participants were required to take the first medication (naltrexone 50 mg or placebo) once a day for two days prior to the first experimental session and on the morning of their appointment. After the first session participants were given the second medication, which they took in the same fashion. Active medication and placebo were counterbalanced and double-blinded. Compliance was examined by packing the medication and placebo into capsules with 50 mg of riboflavin. Urine samples were collected prior to each infusion session and were analyzed for riboflavin content under an ultraviolet light, which makes the riboflavin detectable (Del Boca et al., 1996). All samples tested positive for riboflavin content verifying that the last dose was taken. Participant report and pill minder data were employed to verify compliance with the first two medication doses.

### 2.4. Measures

Participants completed a battery of individual difference measures that included demographics, drinking behavior, and family history of alcohol problems using the Family History Assessment Module (FHAM) for alcohol use disorders and limited to affected first degree relatives. During each alcohol infusion session, measures of subjective responses to alcohol and alcohol craving were administered at baseline and at the ascending and descending limbs of intoxication.

The following measures were used:

1. **Alcohol Urge Questionnaire (AUQ).** The AUQ consists of eight items related to urge to drink alcohol; each item is rated on a 7-point Likert scale and anchored by “Strongly Disagree” and “Strongly Agree.” The AUQ has demonstrated high internal consistency in previous laboratory studies (Bohn et al., 1995; MacKillop, 2006).
2. **Subjective High Assessment Scale (SHAS).** The SHAS was used to assess subjective feelings of alcohol intoxication. This measure has been adapted by Schuckit (1984) and has since been widely used in alcohol challenge studies. The SHAS consists of 13-items such as: drunk, high, nauseated, dizzy, and drug effect (Schuckit, 1984).
3. **Biphasic Alcohol Effects Scale (BAES).** The BAES assesses feelings of alcohol-induced stimulation and sedation, each subscale consisting of 7 items (Martin et al., 1993).
4. **Profile of Mood States (POMS).** The short version of the POMS (McNair et al., 1971) was used in this study to assess mood changes following alcohol administration. The following subscales of the POMS, each composed of 10 items, were used in this study: vigor, tension, positive and negative mood.
5. **Alcohol Rating Scale (ARS).** The ARS measures participants’ responses to the hedonic properties of alcohol, including alcohol-induced feelings of “high.”

### 2.5. Cortisol assay

Blood samples for cortisol were collected by the nursing staff and placed into heparinized tubes (0.15 μl heparin) and placed on ice immediately after blood drawing. Within 15 min of collection, blood was centrifuged at 4 °C and the serum transferred to a microtube stored at ~20 °C. The cortisol assay was performed at the Neurogenetics Core Laboratory at the Mind Research Network using a cortisol enzyme immunoassay (EIA) provided by Assay Designs (Catalog number 900-071) and protocol instructions were followed exactly as directed. The optical density of the EIA plate was read at 405 nm using a Multiskan Ascent plate reader from Thermo Scientific and results were extrapolated using standard curves and a 4 parameter logistic curve fitting algorithm. The sensitivity of the cortisol assay is 0.22 μg/dl, the inter assay coefficient of variation is 2.8%, and the inter assay coefficient of variation is 6.0%.

### 2.6. Statistical analysis

To test the primary aims of this study, a 2×2 repeated measures analyses of variance (ANOVAs) was conducted to examine the effects of Medication (i.e., naltrexone vs. placebo) and Alcohol (i.e., pre vs. post) on cortisol serum levels. Both Medication and Alcohol were within-subjects factors. Additionally, Pearson correlations were used to examine associations between serum cortisol levels and subjective responses to alcohol at matched assessment points (i.e., baseline and when BrAC reached 0.06 g/dl). To test the secondary aim of the study, a mixed 2×2×2 ANOVA was conducted by adding Family History (positive vs. negative) to the model described above. Corrections for Type I error were considered but ultimately rejected on the basis of the argument that Type I error needs to be considered for each hypothesis separately, not for the number of variables in the whole set. 

![Fig. 1. Means and standard errors for cortisol level on the naltrexone and placebo conditions both pre and post alcohol infusion.](Image)
of analyses reported (Dar et al., 1994). In the present analyses multiple measures are assessing the single hypothesis regarding differential subjective response to alcohol as a function of cortisol levels, thereby suggesting that corrections for Type I error may not be warranted.

3. Results

3.1. Baseline comparisons

There were no significant differences in BrACs in the Naltrexone vs. Placebo conditions, F(1,36) = 1.58, p = .22. There was no effect of gender on cortisol levels at any of the four assessment points (p > .05). Therefore, it is highly unlikely that gender or other variables measured at baseline confounded the statistical analyses presented herein.

3.2. Naltrexone and alcohol effects

The 2 × 2 repeated measures ANOVA, described above, revealed a significant main effect of medication, such that cortisol levels were higher on naltrexone, as compared to placebo [F(1, 36) = 17.2, p < .001]. There was also a significant main effect of alcohol, such that cortisol levels decreased after alcohol infusion (BAC = 0.06 g/dl) [F(1, 36) = 19.3, p < .0001]. A significant Alcohol × Medication interaction indicated that naltrexone was associated with greater decreases in cortisol level after alcohol infusion [F(1, 36) = 6.67, p < .05], see Fig. 1.

3.3. Cortisol levels and subjective responses to alcohol

Baseline cortisol level, in the placebo condition, was inversely related to Vigor at baseline [r = -.47, p < .01]. In the naltrexone condition, post alcohol cortisol level was associated with higher self-reported Sedation [r = .41, p < .05] and Subjective Intoxication [SHAS; r = .50, p < .05] at matched assessment points (BAC = 0.06 g/dl). Additionaly, when examining relationships across pre-post alcohol assessment, baseline cortisol level, in the placebo condition, was negatively associated with alcohol “high” [r = -.36, p < .05]. Stimulation [r = -.34, p < .05], and Vigor [r = -.39, p < .05] at the target BAC (i.e., 0.06 g/dl), suggesting that baseline cortisol level is negatively associated with the reinforcing subjective effects of alcohol. This is relevant in light of the clinical literature suggesting that higher basal levels of cortisol may contribute to the relapse-preventing effects of naltrexone (Adinoff et al., 2005; Kiefer et al., 2006). See Table 2 for a complete list of correlations between cortisol levels and subjective responses to alcohol.

3.4. Family history as a moderator

Analyses did not support a moderating role of family history of alcoholism (FH) on the relationship between naltrexone and cortisol levels, such that there was no main effect of FH on cortisol levels [F(1, 35) = 1.46, p = .24], or FH × Medication [F(1, 35) = 2.67, p = .11] and FH × Medication × Alcohol [F(1, 35) = 2.12, p = .15] interactions.

4. Discussion

Results of this study revealed that naltrexone significantly raised serum cortisol levels, as compared to placebo, among hazardous drinkers. These findings advance previous work suggesting that naltrexone’s neurobiological mechanisms involve HPA-axis activation (Kiefer et al., 2006; King et al., 2002; O’Malley et al., 2002). From the perspective of the biobehavioral mechanisms of action of naltrexone, results indicated that basal cortisol levels are inversely related to some of the reinforcing effects of alcohol (i.e., high, vigor) and positively associated with some of alcohol’s unpleasant effects (i.e., sedation and subjective intoxication). This is relevant in light of the clinical literature suggesting that higher basal levels of cortisol may contribute to the relapse-preventing effects of naltrexone (Adinoff et al., 2005; Kiefer et al., 2006). Thus, it is plausible that the relapse-preventing effects of naltrexone may be due to differential responsibility to alcohol’s reinforcing effects at higher basal levels of cortisol.

However, there were no significant associations between cortisol levels and self-reported craving for alcohol, contrary to previous findings (O’Malley et al., 2002). There are multiple methodological considerations that may account for the null findings regarding alcohol craving. For instance, O’Malley et al. (2002) studied alcohol dependent participants whereas the current study focused on hazardous drinkers, most of whom are unlikely to meet criteria for alcohol dependence. Additionally, the intravenous alcohol administration lacks the exposure to alcohol cues and a previous study suggested that the oral alcohol administration is more effective at eliciting alcohol craving (Ray et al., 2007).

The present results however, are complementary to those of O’Malley et al. (2002) as that study did not examine subjective responses to alcohol but focused exclusively on alcohol craving. Together, these findings suggest that the inverse relationship between cortisol levels and craving for alcohol (O’Malley et al., 2002) may be due to the fact that cortisol levels are also inversely related to the reinforcing effects of alcohol and positively associated to its unpleasant effects, such that individuals experiencing lower levels of alcohol-induced reward, at higher cortisol levels, report lower alcohol craving. Future studies examining naltrexone-induced HPA-axis activation and its effects on alcohol craving and subjective responses to alcohol among alcohol dependent patients are warranted to more fully integrate these findings.

Conversely, the present results are consistent with several studies that did not find support for an association between alcohol craving and cortisol levels in alcohol dependent individuals (Leggio et al., in press; Ooteman et al., 2007). In sum, the results of this study further support previous findings (Kiefer et al., 2006; King et al., 2002; O’Malley et al., 2002) suggesting that naltrexone is associated with HPA-axis activation. However, these results and others (Leggio et al., in press; Ooteman et al., 2007), suggest that cortisol may not be a direct biological marker of alcohol craving and that perhaps other HPA-axis related hormones (e.g., aldosterone) could reflect alcohol craving in a more direct way, at least among alcohol dependent patients (Leggio et al., 2008). Differences in sample characteristics (see Table 1), such as gender and age may help account for inconsistencies in the literature. For example, participants in this study were generally younger than treatment samples and previous research has shown age and sex differences in cortisol levels in healthy individuals (Nakamura and Yakata, 1984).

Results also suggested a main effect of alcohol and an alcohol by naltrexone interaction such that alcohol intake was found to lower cortisol levels and cortisol decreases were stronger in the naltrexone condition, as compared to placebo. The decrease in cortisol levels following intravenous alcohol administration is somewhat surprising, yet previous studies have shown decreases, albeit small, on cortisol levels during alcohol administration (Gianoulakis et al., 1996).
Interestingly, a prior study of a low dose of intravenous alcohol across the menstrual cycle in women with premenstrual syndrome and controls found that cortisol levels decreased during both the placebo and alcohol infusion (Nyberg et al., 2005). One methodological difference that may help explain these findings is that the intravenous alcohol administration, as compared to the oral administration, prevents the first pass metabolism of alcohol by the liver. A review of the literature has suggested that acetaldehyde, rather than ethanol per se, may be responsible for direct adrenal stimulation by alcohol (Cobb and Van Thiel, 1982). If that is the case, the lack of first pass by the liver in the IV alcohol administration may serve to reduce adrenal stimulation which may account for the decrease in cortisol levels following alcohol infusion. Although intriguing, the effects of alcohol on cortisol should be interpreted with caution, particularly due to the lack of a placebo alcohol condition. Importantly, the start time of the testing session was kept the same within-subjects, yet there was a two hour window of time in which sessions began thereby causing between-subjects variability. This is relevant to the test of alcohol’s effects given the diurnal pattern of cortisol variation (Smyth et al., 1997). Additional studies with a placebo alcohol condition are needed to further clarify these initial findings.

The secondary study objective was to examine family history of alcoholism as a putative moderator of naltrexone-induced HPA-axis activation. Although family history has received prior empirical support as a moderator of naltrexone response (King et al., 2002, 1997; Rohsenow et al., 2007), it did not moderate naltrexone-induced changes in cortisol level in this study. Interestingly, a recent study failed to find an association between cortisol responsivity and family history of alcoholism in abstinent alcohol dependent men (Hardin and Adinoff, 2008). Further investigation of family history as a moderator of naltrexone’s effects in larger samples seems warranted. These results should be interpreted in light of the study’s strengths and limitations. Study strengths include the double-blind within-subjects counterbalanced design and an IV alcohol administration that produces high levels of control over BrACs and allows us to focus more directly on the pharmacology of alcohol. Limitations include the lack of a placebo alcohol condition (e.g., using a saline solution), a sample that was relatively small and composed of young non-treatment-seeking placebo alcohol condition (e.g., using a saline solution), a sample that was young and non-treatment seeking, and a counterbalanced design and IV alcohol administration that does not directly measure alcohol’s effects in a clinical laboratory paradigm: temporal effects of drinking. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 2004;173:32–40.
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